Your Security Stack's Gaps & How To Close Them

Solution	What They Do	Solution's Limitations	How Threater Fills the Gaps
Firewall	 Sits left of boom, meant to inspect and filter traffic before it hits the network Performs deep packet inspection (DPI) on the packets for malicious activity 	 Limited threat intelligence: proprietary, solitary view, and limited in how much external intelligence they can ingest Bogged down by encrypted traffic Outbound traffic filtering is limited and difficult, if configured at all 	 Ingests cyber intelligence from 50+ best-in-class intelligence feeds to enforce upon IP filtering through patented Bloom filter allows for removal of known bad traffic at line speed Inbound and outbound traffic checked and enforced with equal ease Can decrease utilization needs of firewalls
SIEM	 Monitoring and management tool Provides alerts of suspected malicious activity already happening within the network 	 Requires massive amounts of bandwidth to run and analyze the network traffic Non-enforcement: only provides alerts for other tools Need a lot of full-time, specialized resources to manage and run due to alerts, causing burnout and alert fatigue for staff Expensive (software, hardware, storage, ingest, personnel) Mostly focused on on-prem network configurations 	 Decreases the amount of packets to monitor by removing known-bad traffic Reduces alerts as the SIEM is no longer tasked with unnecessary malicious traffic Enforcement of known-bad traffic before it hits the other network tools and technologies Does not require additional staff to manage
SOAR	 Orchestration tool that automates security rules and actions A way to reduce alerts from the other tools and make sense of them 	 Complex implementation Cannot work without a SIEM Configuration issues are common Requires skilled and specialized full time employees (FTEs) to manage 	 Does not require additional staff to manage Agnostic: does not require any specific brand or other technology to operate
TIP	 Stands for "Threat Intelligence Platform" Feeds other tools threat intelligence 	 Cannot enforce in and of itself, only provides intelligence Still a limited, proprietary view of the threat landscape (curated by the TIP) Can be difficult to integrate with other tools Requires lots of maintenance and management by FTEs 	 Can utilize intelligence from TIPs as well as other cyber intelligence feeds and sources Also enforces against bad traffic at the network level Integrates easily with other tools to receive and provide data Runs and updates autonomously without the need for monitoring by FTEs



Your Security Stack's Gaps & How To Close Them

Solution	What They Do	Solution's Limitations	How Threater Fills the Gaps
XDR/EDR/ MDR	 Detect malicious activity based on signatures Look at the threats themselves, not where they're going (i.e., the payload) Provide forensics information in case of incident or breach Throw alerts about suspected malicious activity in the network 	 Reactive to threats already in the network Requires teams of FTEs to manage Expensive to manage (especially in the case of MDRs that are priced based on ingest) Reliant on the level of human talent tasked with managing and investigating the alerts and logs Produce large amounts of alerts (causing alert fatigue and mistakes from staff) Bandwidth and latency issues 	 Removes known bad traffic before it enters or leaves the network, reducing the amount of activity to monitor by these solutions Sits left of boom, preventing attacks instead of remediating them after they have happened Reduces bandwidth requirements of these solutions
DNS Filtering	 Web-based filtering of domains Uses intelligence to figure out which domains are malicious Covers from the POP (point of presence) to the customer's network 	 Only looks at web traffic (HTTP) Only one vendor's intelligence view of the threat landscape There are a lot of other ports and protocols that can be exploited than just web traffic, even as the amount of web traffic is growing 	 Utilizes DNS feeds as well as other lists, feeds, and sources Protects against all ports and protocols, not just HTTP, such as FTP (used in the MOVEit attacks) and RDP attacks
Secure Web Gateway	 Proxy that is mostly endpoint protection Only ports 80 and 443 (internet) Cloud firewall you can access anywhere 	 Only looking at web traffic (which wouldn't have helped with MOVEit attacks that used FTP, not HTTP) All DNS-based Mostly signature-based Looking for the threats, not the actor Often not agnostic and requires integration with other brand-specific tools/technologies, limiting cyber intelligence intake 	 Does not require monitoring of every single endpoint device to work since it protects at the network layer Focuses on the threat actor, not the quickly-changing threats themselves Protects against all ports and protocols both inbound and outbound, not just HTTP Can protect networks, on-prem, in the cloud, or hybrid configurations

threater